



To:

Crown Practice Manager, Office of the Director

of Public Prosecutions

TRM file: <<>>

TRM doc: <>>>

Date: 12 Dec 19

Through:

Superintendent, Judicial Operations Section

Through:

Superintendent, Crime Division

From:

Jakson Evans, Detective Acting Senior

Sergeant, Special References Unit

Subject:

Opinion file 21944804

This memorandum is submitted seeking an independent assessment of evidence and opinion from your office in relation to allegations made against current serving police officers stemming from an arrest and subsequent judicial proceedings in Alice Springs on 11 January 2018.

A preliminary investigation has been conducted by Detective Sergeant Sonia Kennon of the Special References Unit after a complaint was made to the Ombudsman's Office by the North Australian Aboriginal Justice Agency.

The investigation included a review of the entire transcript of the judicial proceedings from 3 September 2018, 2-3 April 2019 and 9 May 2019. It further included body worn footage and mobile telephone footage obtained during the initial arrest as well as statutory declarations provided by all involved police officers and civilian witnesses. A full evidentiary analysis has been completed by Detective Sergeant Kennon and is attached to the file. No formal record of interview has been offered to Constable Rolfe or Zendeli.

The opinion sought relates to two offences, namely;

- 1. Perjury, contrary to section 96 Criminal Code Act, Northern Territory 1983, and:
- 2. False statements in statements required to be under oath, contrary to section 118 Criminal Code Act, Northern Territory 1983.

The offence of Fabricating Evidence, contrary to section 99 Criminal Code Act, Northern Territory 1983 was considered however this offence requires the fabrication to be other than perjury. Therefore it was deemed to be duplicitous to the offence of Perjury relying on the same facts.

The opinion sought with regard to the offence of perjury focuses on two areas of the offence, primarily, whether the accused "knowingly" provided false testimony and further, whether that testimony is in fact false with consideration to the testimony provided. The same questions are raised with regard to the offence of False Statement.

The alleged offending arose out of findings made by Judge Borchers at Alice Springs local court on 9 May 2019 with reference to file number 21801980. The entire transcript is attached to this file and found at folio 23.

His honour made a number of findings relating to the evidence of Constable Rolfe namely;

(1) I cannot accept the evidence of Constable Rolfe or Constable Zendeli when they say they saw punches thrown by Ryder. Neither Constable Lerrain (sic) (Lehrain), nor Duranis (sic) (Geranios) saw any punches thrown and both were closer to Ryder than Zendeli. A body-worn video shows no punches being thrown. Constable Rolfe and Zendeli's evidence is wrong and is a pure fabrication.

His honour later states,

"I find that Constable Rolfe's evidence lacks credibility. He lied. He has lied in a statutory declaration about what has happened in the bedroom".

It is on the basis of these findings the investigation was conducted and it is on the identified discrepancies opinion is sought. There are a number of discrepancies between the evidence of Constable Rolfe and others present that is not easily reconcilable. Body-worn video footage did not corroborate Constable Rolfe's evidence however it did not categorically disprove it either.

Constable Rolfe has not been offered the opportunity to provide a version of events through record of interview. As such he has not offered any concessions as to the discrepancies. In his evidence he makes a concession that he completed his statement at the end of the shift and without viewing the bodyworn video footage. The statutory declaration was given in evidence pursuant to section 33 Evidence (National Uniform Legislation) Act 2011.

An independent assessment is sought with respect to the circumstances of this case. The concerns of this office surround whether or not a prosecution could prove that Constable Rolfe lied in his testimony or whether simply his evidence was not witnessed by others present or captured on the single body-worn camera, or whether the discrepancies are reconcilable through any other means.

It is my opinion that the offences are prima facie, a case exists for the offences however, the prospect for conviction is low in being able to prove beyond reasonable doubt that Constable's Rolfe and Zendeli fabricated their testimony. It stands however in the public interest that an independent assessment of this evidence is conducted by your office.



Mark Malogorski J
D/A/ Superintendent
Crime Division
16 December 2019

Comments:

Richard Bryson Superintendent Judicial Operations Section December 2019

Comments:

Recommended / Not recommended



Approved / not approved



Brief Adjudication Sheet



	- 0	\	ADULT	×	YOUTH PROSECUTION
OPINIO					YOUTH DIVERSION
	NTA 🗌	SUMMONS	BAIL		REMAND
PART A: TO	D BE COMPLETED BY	CASE OFFICER	IJIS Ca	e Number	21944804
Defendant N	lame Zachary Rolfe		Cou	rt Date	N/A
	S96 Perjury and o	thors) = 200,44		14//
Offences	390 Feljury and 0	mers			
Body Worn V	/ideo		Yes No	Attache	ed: Yes 🔳 No 🗌
CCTV attache	CCTV attached			No 🗌	N/A 🔳
Medical Rele	Medical Release Form			No 🗌	N/A 🔲
EROI conduct	EROI conducted			No 🔷	N/A 🔲
Victim Impac	Victim Impact Statement			No 🔲	N/A 🔳
Criminal Histo	Criminal History			Intersta	te - Yes / No
es, any Firearm	nce, offence of violence, d ns licence must be suspend				NTERSTATE CRIMINAL HISTORY MUST BE REQUESTED
Informant / (Sonia Kennon	Rank / Registered n	umher: D/C~+ 2464		Date: 05/12/2019
Phone number			@pfes.nt.gov.au		Date: 05/12/2019
Signature:			ecial References Unit		
	must be a different mem		BANK K. VARAN	No.	
	: Jakson Evans	Rank / Registered n	umber: D/A/Snr Sgt		Date: 06/12/2019
Phone number			@pfes.nt.gov.au		
		THE BRIEF ADJUDICAT		100	
Endorsement	t of this file indicates tha				
	A prima facie case es	xists. t of a finding of guilt exists			
	 Reasonable prospect It is in the Public interest 		*		
		iments as per the Prosecut	ion File Order are atta	ched.	
Adjudicating	Sergeant's Endorsement				A. Cul
Name (print):	Mark Malogo	Rank / Registered num	nber: DA lunt	1 118	Date: 16.17.19
Signature:		Station/Section: (ine Diversion		Northern Territory (a)
Has the file b	een tracked in IJIS		Yes [0 10 000

A NOTE TO PROSECUTOR MUST BE COMPLETED

<u>Prosecution File Order - Aide Memoir</u>

Document

Nr of Copies

•	Brief Adjudication Sheet (mandatory)	
•	Apprehension Report	
•	Note to Prosecutor (mandatory)	
•	IJIS screen print of all current court matters (mandatory)	
•	IJIS screen print of all unpaid fines (mandatory)	
•	BDO (if issued)	
•	Statement of Facts	3
•	Victim Statements	
•	Witness Statements	3
•	Members Statements	3
•	Members Notebook entries (completely blackout unrelated entries)	3
•	Photographs (colour)	3
•	Victim Impact Statement(s)	3
•	Receipts/Invoices (support restitution request)	3
•	Other Tenderable Documents (if relevant)	
	■ Drink driving forms2	
	Trespass Act/ notice	
	DV Orders/proof of service	
	 Alcohol Protection Orders 	
	MVR certificates (Unreg/Unins/Unlic)2	
	Financial Statements (if available)2	
	Medical Report (if available)2	
•	Master Log Record	1
•	EROI Discs (defence copy & working copy within sleeve)	2
•	CCTV discs (defence copy & working copy within sleeve)	2
•	Body Worn discs (defence copy & working copy within sleeve)	2
•	Chronology of events (S138)	1
•	Miscellaneous Correspondence (if relevant)	
	Immediate Suspension Notice – S29AAN1	
	Request for Information - Interstate history	
	IJIS printouts1	
	MVR printouts	
	Medical release form	
•	Charge Sheet	2
	Bail Consideration	1
	o Bail Agreement/ Remand Warrant 3 3 0R	
	o Summons Docs (worksheet/proof of service)	
	o Notice to Appear (white & yellow copies)1	
•	NT Criminal History - Information for Courts	3
	NT Criminal History - Antecedents Report	
•	Interstate Criminal History	3