

---

**From:** Headframe  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 27 January 2021 10:34 AM  
**To:** Liquor Commission  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** Submission for Proposal Variation of Conditions of Licences

To the Commissioner

As the Licensee Nominee of Headframe Bottleshop I would like to submit the following in response to the variation proposals.

Paragrasph 107a - CCTV Requirements

A CCTV over the BDR / POS should be installed. This is not only a Licencing Requirement but also an additional security for the POS staff as to sales made, change given etc.

Paragraph 107b - PALI or POSI Officer

I believe that this is the position of the PALI or POSI anyway. It is up to the Police though to ensure that this is done correctly by all PALI and POSI as currently the extreme differences in the attendees can mean that a business is extremely busy or makes no or little trade at all. Our trade should not depend on the PALI or POSI that is in attendance.

Paragraph 108a: The BDR on Premise

The implementation of the BDR on the door of the TCH, Goldfields Hotel and the Elliott Hotel should be extended to the two Clubs in Tennant Creek as well.

If a person is on the BDR it is for a reason. They are not supposed to have access to alcohol at all and allowing them to be able to sit at a bar and drink for hours is a joke against the system.

If this is implemented at the Hotels and not the Clubs then these people can then go their to drinks on these premise.

Paragraph 109a: 3 person purchase on one day

Really. How is this going to be monitored. As a Licensee we don't take peoples addresses at time of purchase. We also don't have access to Housing lists etc. This is not only unfair on us as licensees but also on the tennants of the housing. Due to over crowding and the high cost of rents in Tennant Creek you can have many working persons living in a residence. Why should they have to choose who was going to make purchases that night and who wasn't.

Also how is this going to effect those who have one of the hotels or caravan parks as an address. I know when I lived and worked at The Outback Caravan my DL address just said Outback Caravan Park. Tennant Creek has many Fly In Fly Out workers who stay in town for anything up to a month at times. This would obviously include then any tourists travelling through and staying the night in Tennant Creek which we need to encourage not deter.

As an example we are 3 adults in our family and we also have a tennant who stays in a spare room. He lives his own life, separate from ours. All 4 adults in our house work and enjoy a drink at the end of the day. If the 3 of our family decide to purchase on a particular night, that our tennant can not purchase at all, even though he is not part of our family unit and a separate tennant who happens to live at our address.

The overcrowding situation in Tennant Creek is a major problem and this is going to make the implementation of this variation nearly impossible to monitor and police. You can not have a rule for one and not the other so how is this going to be brought ion fairly. The PALI and POLI are not going to be able to police this totally as there is not a PALI or POLI on ther Memo takeaway area, yes you need to be a member to purchase but what is stopping then a member from a household attend the three bottleshops and the Memo at the same time to make a purchase. No one monitors addresses.

Paragraph 109b:

Isn't this the same as paragraph 107b. If a person or premise is of a concern then it is up to the PALI or POLI to not allow that person to proceed into the bottleshop.

I would also like to submit the following:

In regards to the purchase limitations.

With hopefully a big tourist season coming this year with no overseas travel available. We should be encouraging people to stay in all remote communities. Coming into town these travellers will stay at one of the caravan parks or hotels. Mother will stay back in the van and start dinner preparations and dad will come down to the bottle shop to hopefully purchase a 6 pack of beer for him and a bottle of wine for her. This is about the limit they do purchase as Tennant Creek is an overnight stop mainly. With the long drive north, south or east the travellers don't drink more than that a night if that at all. Under the current restrictions this is not possible as this purchase means that 2 ID's are required and this is less alcohol in a single purchase than a case of 24 full strength beer. Doesn't seem fair or make any sense.

Also another idea for restrictions is the permit system that is working in Arnhamland. This could also be used as a deterrent against the youth crime here in Tennant Creek. Give all adults here a permit that qualify and make them responsible for their childrens actions. If their children are the ones involv ed in these issues in town, take the permit away from mum and dad for a period of time. Everyone may just learn consequences for their actions or the actions of their family members very quickly. The town is getting tired of the youth issues and some one is going to take things into their own hands.

I have asked to be in attendance at the hearing on February 2nd, I am willing to be questioned over my position on points raised above. I have not heard back to my email request.

Kind regards  
Penelope Cowin  
Licensee Nominee  
Headframe Bottleshop  
0411 842 597