

NORTHERN TERRITORY LIQUOR COMMISSION

DECISION NOTICE

MATTER: APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DECISION OF DIRECTOR-GENERAL OF LICENSING

LICENCE NUMBER: 81401481

LICENSEE: PINT Club Incorporated

PREMISES: PINT Club
Abala Rd
MARRARA NT 0812

LEGISLATION: Part IV, *Liquor Commission Act 2018*

HEARD BEFORE: Mr Russell Goldflam (Acting Deputy Chairperson)
Ms Elizabeth Stephenson (Health Member)
Ms Sandra Cannon (Community Member)

DATE OF HEARING: Dealt with on the papers

1. This matter involves an application for review of a series of decisions made by the Director-General of Licensing (“**Director-General**”) on 21 December 2018. Each decision was to dismiss a complaint that had been made against the PINT Club (“**the licensee**”) on the basis that there was insufficient evidence to substantiate a ground of complaint. Each decision was made by the Director-General pursuant to s68(5)(a)(i) of the *Liquor Act 1978* (“**the Act**”).
2. The applicant for review in each case is Mr Brendan Lawson, a resident of the Northlakes area located close to the PINT Club licensed premises.
3. The application for review was made in a particular context whereby Mr Lawson and a number of his neighbours have made a large number of complaints against the PINT Club since it erected an outdoor sound stage in 2017. The background to this long running dispute is set out in detail in the decision of the Liquor Commission dated 17 March 2020 in Reference No. LC2019/059 & LC2019/121 (“**the related proceedings**”)¹.

¹ See the published Decision Notice in Liquor Commission Reference No’s LC2019/059 & LC2019/121 at https://justice.nt.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0003/804477/Decision-Notice-PINT-Club.pdf

4. Mr Lawson filed his application for review in the approved form on 18 January 2019, having initially sought review in a letter dated 17 January 2019.
5. At a Directions Hearing held on 13 September 2019, it was ordered by consent that this review be dealt with on the papers, after the related proceedings had concluded. The Applicant, the Director-General and the Licensee were invited by the Commission to make written submissions as affected persons and have all done so. A brief of relevant documents was agreed upon, the index of which is annexed to this decision.

Essential background to application for review

6. In August and September 2018, Mr Lawson made a number of complaints against the licensee, principally alleging that they were playing music from the outdoor stage area at unacceptably loud levels. All complaints were made in writing. Some complaint documents referred to more than one event conducted by the licensee, and some complaints relating to a single event contained more than one ground of complaint. Licensing NT staff dealt with the complaints on a per event basis as thirteen (13) separate complaints ("**the complaints**").
7. Many of the complaints were couched in terms of an alleged breach of a condition of the licensee's Liquor Licence, namely a special condition that incorporated a Noise Complaint Policy into the terms of the PINT Club licence, and purported to set a maximum noise level. An additional ground of complaint identified as relevant by investigators, was use of the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act.
8. The inadequacies of the Noise Complaint Policy condition, and the lack of qualification, equipment and training of staff of Licensing NT to properly investigate noise complaints is addressed in the decision of 17 March 2020 in the related proceedings².
9. Following investigation into each of the complaints, a Senior Compliance Officer employed by Licencing NT compiled a report to the Director-General outlining each of the complaints and the investigations conducted. Each matter was then the subject of some analysis and a recommendation as to how the Director-General should deal with each complaint. The report annexed relevant materials such as the written complaints and evidentiary documents provided by Mr Lawson, licensee responses and other documents produced or obtained in the course of the Licensing NT investigation. The report was submitted on an unknown date in October 2018 to the Director-General, via a series of higher level administrative officers including a supervisor, the Director of Liquor, Gambling and Racing, and the Deputy Director-General. The Deputy Director-

² Ibid. See particularly paragraphs [60]-[84]

General made comments and recommendations of her own on the report document.

10. Ultimately, on 9 November 2018 the Director-General made her decision on each of the complaints, accepting the combined recommendations of her staff. In brief terms the decisions were as follows:-

- Referral of three (3) of the complaints to the Liquor Commission for disciplinary action pursuant to s68 (5)(b)(iii) of the Act;
- Issue of an Infringement Notice to the licensee in relation to one (1) of the complaints pursuant to s68(5)(b)(i) of the Act;
- Dismissal of the remaining complaints as above mentioned.

11. The Director-General gave instructions by writing on the report document that all relevant correspondence, referrals and a recommended infringement notice were to be actioned. As detailed in the decision in the related proceedings, there was substantial delay in attending to the referrals, and the issuing of the infringement notice was apparently overlooked altogether.

12. Correspondence to Mr Lawson in relation to the dismissal of the remaining complaints was issued on 21 December 2018. The correspondence informed Mr Lawson that three of his complaints were to be referred to the Commission for disciplinary action, one complaint would be the subject of an infringement notice to be issued early in the New Year, two related complaints remained under investigation, and that all others had been dismissed. Annexed to the letter was a table that had been prepared as part of the investigation report that recorded the complaints received, the event the complaint related to and the outcome. The table showed that the following complaints by Mr Lawson had been dismissed:-

- (1) Complaint about noise emissions - Billy Joel concert 5 May 2018;
- (2) Complaint licensee breached Club Condition (exceeded number of permitted events) – 5 May 2018;
- (3) Complaint about noise emissions - Blues & Roots Festival 21 July 2018;
- (4) Complaint about noise emissions - Beccy Cole / Adam Harvey concert 18 August 2018;
- (5) Complaint about noise emissions and patron numbers - 80th Birthday 26 August 2018;
- (6) Complaint about noise emissions - Sunday Blues 2 September 2018;
- (7) Complaint about noise emissions - Pink Tribute 7 September 2018;
- (8) Complaint about noise emissions - 21 September 2018;
- (9) Complaint about noise emissions - Elvis Tribute 22 September 2018;
- (10) Complaint about noise emissions - excess patrons 5 May 2018.

13. The 21 December 2018 letter did not contain a statement of reasons. Reasons were only identified in or about September 2019 when the report that evidenced the decision making process, was released to the Commission and affected persons.
14. As stated above, Mr Lawson lodged his application for review of each of the above decisions on 18 January 2019.

Subsequent decision to refer the dismissed complaints

15. Mr Lawson and some of his neighbours continued to make complaints about noise emissions from the PINT Club following the 9 November 2018 decision to refer 3 complaints to the Commission.
16. For reasons unknown, but likely connected with the accumulating volume of complaints, the referral of the 3 complaints upheld on 9 November 2018, did not occur until 30 April 2019.
17. By that time, the decision maker with respect to the complaint dismissals i.e. the former Director-General, had left Licensing NT.
18. On 30 April 2019 the then acting Director-General signed and submitted a referral of the three complaints to the Commission, and at the same time referred a fourth consolidated complaint that drew in as part of the referral, all of the dismissed complaints.
19. All four referred complaints then proceeded to a hearing on 18 and 19 November 2019, with many of the complaints of Mr Lawson and his neighbours upheld by the Commission, and some significant disciplinary action taken against the licensee as a result.
20. In particular, the Commission upheld all of the excessive noise complaints arising from the incidents the subject of the decisions under challenge in this review, on the ground that the way in which the licensed premises had been used had caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises. The Commission imposed disciplinary action for these contraventions of the Act by varying the conditions of the licence, as set out at paragraphs [5] and [6] of its decision notice in the related proceedings.

Applicable law

21. The *Liquor Act 2019* (NT) ("**the new Act**") and *Liquor Regulations 2019* (NT) commenced on 1 October 2019.
22. Mr Lawson's application for review was made before the commencement of the new Act, pursuant to s29 of the *Liquor Commission Act 2018*.
23. Regulation 137(1) of the *Liquor Regulations 2019* provides that an application for review under the *Liquor Commission Act 2018* that was not determined before the commencement of the new Act, is to proceed and be determined under the *Liquor Commission Act 2018*.
24. Section 29 of *Liquor Commission Act 2018* provides for the right of review and sets out a number of conditions precedent. The Commission is satisfied that those are met.
25. Section 31 of that Act then provides that the Commission "must" review the Director-General's decision, unless satisfied that the application was frivolous or vexatious. The Commission considered whether or not Mr Lawson was a vexatious complainant in the related proceedings and determined that he was not. The Commission adopts the same reasoning in this matter and is not satisfied that Mr Lawson's application for review was either frivolous or vexatious at the time it was made.
26. In the unusual circumstances of this case, where the acting Director-General referred the complaints that had been dismissed by her predecessor, the Commission has considered whether or not it is compelled to review a decision that has effectively been overridden.
27. In this regard the Commission notes that it became seized of the review matter on 18 January 2019, some months prior to the referral. However the referral matters have been adjudicated on to finality in the related proceedings³.
28. There is an argument that the Commission ought not to exercise its review jurisdiction in the circumstances. A review conducted by the Commission is not in the nature of a rehearing, and the role of the Commission on review is not (for example) to correct any error in the decision of the Director-General, or the decision making process that occurred. The Commission looks at the matter afresh, and apart from the requirements of s32(1), may take into account facts that exist as at the date of review, in order to arrive at the correct or preferable decision⁴. In these circumstances the Commission has determined

³ No issue estoppel arises as the Commission was acting administratively in the related proceedings.

⁴ *Shi v Migration Agents Registration Authority* (2008) 235 CLR 286; 82 ALJR 1147; 48 AAR 345; [2008] HCA 31

it “must” proceed with the review, but it must do so bearing in mind the 30 April 2019 referrals and the outcome of the related proceedings⁵.

29. The decisions available to the Commission on review are the same as those that were available to the Director-General on 9 November 2018. At that date, the actions that could be taken in relation to a complaint were as follows (from s68 of the Act, paraphrased):

- (i) dismiss a complaint under s68(5)(a)(i) if satisfied that no grounds existed for making a complaint or there was insufficient evidence;
- (ii) dismiss a complaint under s68(5)(a)(ii) if satisfied that although a ground exists for making the complaint, it does not warrant any action being taken under s68;
- (iii) give the licensee a formal warning under s68(5)(b)(ia);
- (iv) issue an infringement notice under the Regulations under s68(5)(b)(i);
- (v) enter into an enforceable undertaking with the licensee under s68(5)(b)(ii);
- (vi) refer the complaint to the Commission for disciplinary action under s68(5)(b)(iii).

30. Section 32 provides that:

- (1) *In reviewing the Director-General decision, the Commission must:*
 - (a) *take into account any matter that the Act under which the Director-General decision was made requires the Director-General to take into account in reviewing the decision; and*
 - (b) *follow the procedures for review determined by the Commission; and*
 - (c) *comply with the rules of natural justice.*
- (2) *After reviewing the Director-General decision, the Commission must:*
 - (a) *affirm the decision; or*
 - (b) *vary the decision; or*
 - (c) *set aside the decision and substitute a new decision.*

⁵ The Commission also considered if it has an implied power to stay the review proceedings to prevent an abuse of its processes. However as in this case the statute provides a means of avoiding an outcome that would be unfair or harsh to the licensee, it is unnecessary for the Commission to determine whether it has such a power.

Decision on review

31. Mr Lawson's application for review raised two broad grounds:-

- (i) That he and other complainants had provided evidence in support of the dismissed complaints, and had done so in a manner consistent with the advice of Licensing NT officers. That evidence included date, time, noise level readings and photographic images to support the allegations, and complaints were made in statutory declaration form. Mr Lawson also raised that corroborating evidence had subsequently been provided, and asked the Commission to take that into account;
- (ii) That dismissal of some of the complaints was on the basis of insufficient evidence to substantiate conduct in breach of s67(3)(m) of the Act, and failed to address alleged breaches of licence conditions or other sections of the Act including the material alterations provisions, the Club condition and the special condition relating to Fire safety.

32. The Commission notes that the material alterations complaint had in fact been the subject of a decision to refer the complaint to the Commission for disciplinary action, but that the referral had not been actioned as at the date review was sought. It was actioned on 7 October 2019 and heard as part of the related proceedings. The Commission found that the licensee had contravened s119(1) of the Act and took disciplinary action against the licensee in the form of a license suspension⁶.

Complaint about the Billy Joel concert 5 May 18

33. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act.

34. This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) the Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached⁷;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons

⁶ Paras [4], [107] – [121]

⁷ Par [94]

residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act⁸.

35. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about noise emissions - Blues & Roots Festival 21 July 2018

36. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act;
- (iii) inadequate monitoring of noise emissions by the licensee in breach of the licence condition.

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) the Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached⁹;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act¹⁰;
- (iii) the Commission was not satisfied that the licence condition was breached in this way¹¹.

37. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about Beccy Cole / Adam Harvey concert 18 August 2018

38. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act;

⁸ Par [96]

⁹ Par [94]

¹⁰ Par [96]

¹¹ Par [101]

- (iii) inadequate monitoring of noise emissions by the licensee in breach of the licence condition.

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) the Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached¹²;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act¹³;
- (iii) the Commission was not satisfied that the licence condition was breached in this way¹⁴.

39. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about noise emissions - 80th Birthday 26 August 2018

40. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act;
- (iii) inadequate monitoring of noise emissions by the licensee in breach of the licence condition.

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) the Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached¹⁵;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act¹⁶;

¹² Par [94]

¹³ Par [96]

¹⁴ Par [101]

¹⁵ Par [94]

¹⁶ Par [96]

- (iii) the Commission was not satisfied that the licence condition was breached in this way¹⁷.

41. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about Sunday Blues 2 September 2018

42. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act;

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) the Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached¹⁸;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act¹⁹.

43. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about Pink Tribute 7 September 2018

44. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act.

¹⁷ Par [101]

¹⁸ Par [94]

¹⁹ Par [96]

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) The Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached²⁰;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act²¹.

45. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about noise emissions - 21 September 2018

46. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act.

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) the Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached²²;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act²³.

47. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision: the Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

²⁰ Par [94]

²¹ Par [96]

²² Par [94]

²³ Par [96]

Complaint about Elvis Tribute 22 September 2018

48. This complaint raised the following potential grounds:

- (i) noise emissions in breach of the 'Noise Complaint Policy' licence condition ("the licence condition");
- (ii) noise emissions otherwise in breach of the Act.

This complaint was referred to the Commission as part of the consolidated complaint 4 on 30 April 2019. In the Commission's decision in that matter it was held that:

- (i) The Commission could not be satisfied that the licence condition was breached²⁴;
- (ii) the Commission was satisfied that the licensee had used the licenced premises in a way that caused annoyance or disturbance to persons residing in the neighbourhood of the premises pursuant to s67(3)(m) of the Act²⁵.

49. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to set aside the decision of the Director-General and substitute the following decision., The Commission finds that although satisfied that a ground of complaint exists, it does not warrant any further action and accordingly is dismissed.

Complaint about breach of the Club Condition

50. The allegations regarding breach of the Club Condition were addressed in the related proceedings and were the subject of factual findings by the Commission²⁶. The Commission was not satisfied that there was a ground for complaint made out.

51. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to affirm the decision of the Director-General to dismiss this complaint.

Complaint about breach of the Special Condition - Fire

52. The allegations regarding breach of the Special Condition - Fire were addressed in the related proceedings. Although the Commission did not consider the matter in detail, it did agree that the licensed premises should be

²⁴ Par [94]

²⁵ Par [96]

²⁶ Par [101]

reassessed in terms of maximum patron numbers and ordered amendments to the licence accordingly.²⁷

53. In all of the circumstances, the Commission has determined to affirm the decision of the Director-General to dismiss this complaint.

54. The Commission is grateful for the very considerable assistance of Ms Chalmers, counsel assisting the Commission, in the preparation of this Decision Notice.

Notice of Rights

55. Section 120ZA of the Act provides that a reviewable decision is a Commission decision that is specified in the Schedule to the Act. A decision to dismiss a complaint licence pursuant to s68 is specified in the Schedule and is a reviewable decision.

56. Section 120ZC of the Act provides that a person affected by this decision may seek a review before the Northern Territory Civil and Administrative Tribunal.

57. Any application for review of this decision must be lodged within 28 days of the date of this decision.

58. For the purpose of this decision, and in accordance with s120ZB(3)(b) of the Act and section 28(1) of the *Liquor Commission Act 2018*, the affected persons are Mr Brendan Lawson, the licensee and the Director of Liquor Licensing.



RUSSELL GOLDFLAM

Acting Deputy Chairperson

Northern Territory Liquor Commission

28 May 2020

On behalf of Commissioners, Goldflam, Stephenson and Cannon

²⁷ Par [6]

Northern Territory

LIQUOR COMMISSION

LIST OF DOCUMENTS

Attachment	Document	Author	Folios
A	Application for Review of a Director-General Decision dated 17 January 2019 in letter form and supporting documentation	Brendan Lawson	1 - 8
B	Letter to Mr Lawson from Chairperson concerning completion of "approved form" - Application for Review of a Director-General Decision	Richard Coates, Chairperson	9
C	Application for Review of a Director-General Decision dated 18 January 2019 - Approved Form	Brendan Lawson	10 - 13
D	Letter dated 21 January 2019 to Mr Lawson acknowledging receipt of Application for Review of a Director-General Decision and providing copy of excerpt of Commission Policy and Procedures Manual	Richard Coates, Chairperson	14 - 17
E	Email dated 21 January 2019 to A/Director-General notifying of review application and seeking relevant information from Director-General file.	Carolyn Parsell, Manager Board and Commission Support	18
F	Email dated 11 July 2019 from the Executive Officer to the Director-General providing materials relevant to the review	Judith Boiteau, Executive Officer to the Director-General	19 - 20
G	Copy of endorsed Report to Director-General of Licensing concerning complaints pursuant to section 58 of the <i>Liquor Act</i> against PINT Club Incorporated and 24 x attachments	Scott Gooch, Senior Compliance Officer and Dean Moloney, Director Liquor, Gambling and Racing	21 - 78
1	Copy of Director-General Decision Notice dated 31 January 2018	Cindy Bravos Director-General of Licensing	79 - 98
2	Copy of Liquor Licence of PINT Club Incorporated #81401481 effective 4 July 2018	Cindy Bravos Director-General of Licensing	99 - 116
3	Copy of PINT Club "Sound Level Monitoring & Noise Complaints" Policy and Procedures	Darren Howard, General Manager	117 - 120
4	Copy of list of PINT Club complaints as at 1 March 2019		121 - 129
5	Copy of "Northern Territory Noise Management Framework Guideline	Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority	130 - 138

Northern Territory

LIQUOR COMMISSION

6	Copy of section 68 Complaint form lodged by Scott Gooch, Senior Compliance Officer and accepted by Dean Moloney	Dean Moloney, Director Liquor, Gambling and Racing	139 - 170
7	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Brendan Lawson dated 2 August 2018 and attachments	Brendan Lawson	171 - 189
8	Copy of letter to PINT Club from Delegate of the Director-General dated 10 August 2018 concerning notifying 3 x complaints pursuant to s.68(1) of the <i>Liquor Act</i> and seeking written response complaint	Dean Moloney, Director Liquor, Gambling & Racing	190 - 191
9	Copy of letter of response of the PINT Club to the Delegate of the Director-General dated 27 August 2018	Darren Howard, General Manager	192 - 193
10	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Scott Gooch, Senior Compliance Officer	Scott Gooch, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing NT	194
11	Copy of Statutory Declaration of David Neall, Senior Compliance Officer dated 10 October 2018	David Neall, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing NT	195 -197
12	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Leigh Sinton dated 24 August 2018 and attachments	Leigh Sinton	198 - 239
13	Copy of Section 68 complaint form endorsed by Mr Dean Moloney on 12 September 2018	Dean Moloney, Director Liquor, Gambling & Racing	240 - 244
14	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Brendan Lawson dated 15 August 2018 concerning alleged conduct of PINT Club and annexures	Brendan Lawson	245 - 286
15	Copy of letter to PINT Club from Delegate of the Director-General dated 20 September 2018 notifying 8 x complaints pursuant to s.68(1) of the <i>Liquor Act 1978</i> and seeking written response	Dean Moloney, Delegate of the Director-General	287 - 288
16	Copy of letter of response of the PINT Club to the Delegate of the Director-General dated 4 October 2018	Darren Howard, General Manager	289 - 292
17	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Brendan Lawson dated 29 August 2018, concerning alleged conduct of PINT Club on 18 August 2018 and annexures	Brendan Lawson	293 - 311
18	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Steve Holehouse, Senior Compliance Officer dated 10 October 2018	Steve Holehouse, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing	312 - 313

Northern Territory

LIQUOR COMMISSION

		NT	
19	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Prue Chellis, Senior Compliance Officer dated 22 October 2018	Prue Chellis, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing NT	314 - 317
20	Copy of Section 68 complaint form endorsed by Mr Dean Moloney on 26 September 2018	Dean Moloney, Director Liquor, Gambling & Racing	318 - 321
21	Copy of letter to PINT Club from Delegate of the Director-General dated 26 September 2018 notifying complaint pursuant to s.68(1) of the <i>Liquor Act 1978</i> and seeking written response	Dean Moloney, Delegate of the Director-General	322 - 323
22	Copy of email from Darren Howard, General Manager dated 18 October 2018 providing complaint explanations	Darren Howard, General Manager	324
23	Copy of Statutory Declaration of Jeffrey Paul, Senior Compliance Officer dated 11 October 2018	Jeffrey Paul, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing NT	325
24	Copy of email exchanges between Darren Howard, General Manager and Scott Gooch, Senior Compliance Officer dated 6 September 2018 concerning "Open Day" events	Darren Howard, General Manager and Scott Gooch, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing NT	326 - 344
H	Copy of Compliance Report (Inspection Report) of Steve Holehouse concerning PINT Club operation observations on 18 August 2018	Steve Holehouse, Senior Compliance Officer, Licensing NT	345 - 348
I	Statutory Declaration and Attachments A - C filed by Mr Lawson on 5 September 2019 by consent	Brendan Lawson	349 - 353