

145

Question 2: Should the attribute of gender be included in the act?

There was a parliamentarian in the German Parliament recently who listed 56 genders. Since then the number has risen to 76. This phenomenon reveals that the perception of gender is subjective and inexhaustible. Whatever an individual perceives is a possibility! The interpretations are endless.

Consequently 'sex' should be defined in the act. Relating to an individual as male or female, girl or boy should remain as an attribute and should allow for 'the same' to use toilets or to participate in activities which are specifically Male or female.

Question 3: Should intersex be included as an attribute in the act?

Why should the word 'intersex' not be included in the word 'sex'? If the word sex is removed then the word 'intersex' has no substance as it would not be defined!

Question 4: Should vilification provisions be included in the act? Should vilification be prohibited for attributes other than on the basis of race, such as disability, sexual orientation, religious belief, gender identity or intersex status?

Reasonable action to protect all against vilification should be included in the Act. However, behaviours which could be determined to 'insult' or 'offend' are so subjective! An objective standard to protect individuals from false claims is required.

Question 7: Should 'lawful sex work' be included as an attribute under the Act?

Religious institutions have belief systems that do not endorse such behaviours and yet are able to interact with such persons in an inclusive way. Therefore, religious institutions should not have to accommodate the behaviours that cause conflict.

Question 11: Should the requirement for clubs to hold a liquor licence be removed?

If under the Act, a church is deemed to be a club will the church be able to uphold and act on its beliefs. Will gender specific activities become an issue?

Question 14: Should any exemptions for religious bodies be removed?

No exemptions should not be removed. We have refugees here in Australia who have fled their homeland due to religious persecution. To practice one's belief is a human right.

Question 20: Should definitions of 'man' and 'woman' be repealed?

No. The idea is ludicrous!