

The ICAC and Local  
Government Factsheet

22/07/2017



A.G. Piper  
P.O. Box 37769  
Winnellie  
N.T. 0821

My name is Allan Piper my residential address [REDACTED]

On Saturday 15<sup>th</sup> July 2017 I attended the meeting at Palmerston to discuss the new ICAC legislation to be introduced into the N.T., there were only 7 people that turned up for that meeting, and as I understand it 10 people turned up at the Humpty Doo and 40 at the Darwin meeting.

The numbers speak for themselves, they clearly identify the no interest and disbelief of Territorians that organised crime and corruption in the N.T. and those responsible will never be brought to justice.

The Factsheet identifies that the ICAC is nothing more than a glorified Ombudsman office.

The Factsheet identifies that the ICAC must be a person with substantial legal expertise, such as a former Judge or a lawyer of 10 years standing, a Lawyer of 10 years standing does not have the qualifications or expertise to handle the magnitude of organised crime and corruption which operates in the N.T., an appointment of a Lawyer of 10 years standing would be a job for the boys, I only no one Supreme Court Judge that did not allow themselves to be influenced by a person's status or position within Government, so which Supreme Judge past or present has those qualifications to be the Commissioner of ICAC

All appointments to ICAC should be made public so that any member of the public that has any information of corruption or miss conduct by a person seeking that appointment were made known to the authority approving the appointment before the appointment is finalised.


Page 2of2 identifies that ICAC will not necessary investigate a matter itself if it can refer the matter to another appropriate body, therefore ICAC is not an independent body, how can it be, ICAC would be heavily influenced by the body which the complaint is being made against which is where organised crime and corruption is today

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A.G. Piper', located in the bottom right corner of the page.

(2)

The labour government introduced the Freedom of Information Act and the Public Interest Disclosure Act, which is an anti-corruption act; the first officers appointed to those authorities were doing a good job but it only took a few months for those officers to be forced out of office and replaced by corrupt CLP officers the Public Interest Disclosure office has been turned into a second Ombudsman's Office, and the Labour Government did nothing to stop it the Ombudsman's office does not prosecute, therefore how many prosecutions has the Public Interest Disclosure Prosecuted, pursuant to its legal responsibilities to prosecute.

In the first yearly report handed down by the Information Commissioner Brender Monahan identified that the Commissioner's Office received expert advice from the Victorian Ombudsman which does not make her an independent authority, when her office became operation we the public were informed that serving members of her office had 35 years of legal standing.



What can we expect from the ICAC, how many of the ICAC investigating officers will be contractors from a security Company?

The dominating factors are that Labour has never had the courage backbone or otherwise to prosecute any crime committed by the CLP, there is also the problem that the D.P.P. will not allow any crime committed by the CLP to be prosecuted, what qualification's does the ICAC Commissioner have to have to be able to prosecute the Public Prosecutor or someone similar.

All criminal offences committed by Public Servants should go before the courts the same as any other criminal offence there should be no statute of limitation for any crime the reason Public Servants commit criminal offences is to ensure that their punishment will be a promotion to another authority within



(3)

Government.

In recent times the Labour Government sort legal advice from the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] regarding matters relating to the Royal Commission, this has left serious doubt in the minds of Territorians as to who's side labour are on.

Why has labour allowed a former CLP ministers to stay in a government positions with a salary of \$250,000-00 year, Territorians notice these things and therefore build up suspicions.

The [REDACTED] have used the [REDACTED] and the [REDACTED] to do their dirty work for them arson, assault, unlawful eviction from crown land under a land claim, threats to kill Aboriginal people if they won the land claim, people have been beaten up and hospitalised you cannot possibly expect whistle blowers to believe that under ICAC the Police and Government will protect them, Labour never has and never will enforce the law to that degree.


This is my submission to the ICAC fact sheet submitted for your information.

Phone:- [REDACTED]

Mob:- [REDACTED]

E-mail [REDACTED]

Signed

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'J.P.R.', written over a horizontal line.